

Appendix**Table A.1. Distribution of the Banks by Country**

Country	Number of Banks	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Australia	5	1.55	1.55
Austria	5	1.55	3.10
Bahrain	6	1.86	4.95
Belgium	1	0.31	5.26
Brazil	4	1.24	6.50
Canada	8	2.48	8.98
Chile	6	1.86	10.84
China	7	2.17	13.00
Croatia	1	0.31	13.31
Denmark	5	1.55	14.86
Egypt, Arab Rep.	6	1.86	16.72
France	6	1.86	18.58
Germany	3	0.93	19.50
Indonesia	8	2.48	21.98
Israel	6	1.86	23.84
Italy	13	4.02	27.86
Japan	48	14.86	42.72
Jordan	9	2.79	45.51
Kuwait	7	2.17	47.68
Malaysia	8	2.48	50.15
Mexico	4	1.24	51.39
Morocco	3	0.93	52.32
Norway	3	0.93	53.25
Pakistan	8	2.48	55.73
Peru	4	1.24	56.97
Philippines	8	2.48	59.44
Poland	8	2.48	61.92
Portugal	2	0.62	62.54
Qatar	8	2.48	65.02
Singapore	3	0.93	65.94
South Africa	5	1.55	67.49
Spain	5	1.55	69.04
Sri Lanka	5	1.55	70.59
Sweden	4	1.24	71.83
Switzerland	14	4.33	76.16
Thailand	4	1.24	77.40
Turkey	9	2.79	80.19
United Arab Emirate	8	2.48	82.66
United Kingdom	5	1.55	84.21
United States	51	15.79	100.00
Total	323	100	

Table A.2. Description of Variables

Variable Name	Definition	Source
<p><i>Dependent Variables (Bank Level):</i> Delta-CoVaR (ΔCoVaR)</p>	<p>Bank i's yearly contribution to systemic risk as defined by Adrian and Brunnermeier (2016). It is measured as the difference of the Value at Risk (VaR) of the system's market value of total assets conditional on the distress of a particular bank (5 percent worst outcomes) and the VaR of the system's market value of total assets conditional on the median state of the bank (median outcomes). ΔCoVaR is estimated using the quantile regression method for an empirical specification where the system's market value of total assets is regressed on each bank's market value of total assets and on a set of market indices that captures the exposure of financial institutions to common factors. The common factors are (i) the daily return of MSCI World index; (ii) the volatility index (VIX); (iii) the daily real estate sector return (MSCI World Real Estate) in excess of the banking-sector return (MSCI World Banks); (iv) the change in the three-month T-bill rate; (v) the spread between three-month repo rate and three-month T-bill rate; (vi) the spread of change in 10-year bond yield and three-month T-bill rate; and (vii) the change in the spread of Moody's Baa corporate bond yield and 10-year bond yield. System is defined as the market value of total assets of the sample. The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater systemic importance.</p>	<p>Own Calculations</p>
<p>Normalized SRISK (NSRISK)</p>	<p>The loss of the bank i within a year conditional by the whole system being in distress (5 percent worst outcomes of the market capitalization) per unit of market capitalization. SRISK is determined using the GJR-GARCH method with two-steps quasi-maximum likelihood (QML) estimation as in Acharya, Engle, and Richardson (2012) and Brownlees and Engle (2017), and we divide it by bank i's market capitalization to get NSRISK. SRISK is expressed in USD as well as market capitalization. System is defined by the MSCI World Financials Index. Higher values are associated with greater systemic importance.</p>	<p>Own Calculations</p>

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
Marginal Expected Shortfall (MES)	<p>Yearly marginal expected shortfall, defined by Acharya et al. (2017) as the average return on an individual bank's stock on the days the MSCI World Financials Index experienced its 5 percent worst outcomes. Conditional volatilities of the equity returns are modeled using asymmetric GJR-GARCH models with two-steps quasi-maximum likelihood (QML) estimation, whereas time-varying conditional correlation is modeled using the dynamic conditional correlation (DCC) framework of Engle (2002). System is defined by the MSCI World Financials Index. The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater systemic importance.</p>	Own Calculations
Exposure- Δ CoVaR ($e\Delta$ CoVaR)	<p>Bank i's yearly exposure to systemic risk as defined by Adrian and Brunnermeier (2016). It is measured as the difference of the Value at Risk (VaR) of market value of total assets of a particular bank i conditional on the distress of the system (5 percent worst outcomes) and the VaR of the bank i's value of total assets conditional on the median state of the system (median outcomes). $e\Delta$CoVaR is estimated using the quantile regression method for an empirical specification where the system's market value of total assets is regressed on each bank's market value of total assets and on a set of market indices that captures the exposure of financial institutions to common factors. The common factors are (i) the daily return of MSCI World index; (ii) the volatility index (VIX); (iii) the daily real estate sector return (MSCI World Real Estate) in excess of the banking-sector return (MSCI World Banks); (iv) the change in the three-month T-bill rate; (v) the spread between three-month repo rate and three-month T-bill rate; (vi) the spread of change in 10-year bond yield and three-month T-bill rate; and (vii) the change in the spread of Moody's Baa corporate bond yield and 10-year bond yield. System is defined as the market value of total assets of the sample. The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater systemic importance.</p>	Own Calculations

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
Leverage (LVG, Market Leverage)	Market leverage computed as the ratio of the quasi-market value of assets divided by market value of common equity, where the quasi-market value of assets is book value of assets minus book value of common equity plus market value of common equity as in Acharya et al. (2017).	Own Calculations
Systemic Expected Shortfall (SES)	Bank propensity to be undercapitalized when the system as a whole is undercapitalized, which increases in its leverage, volatility, correlation, and tail dependence. SES is computed as in Acharya et al. (2017), based on MES and LVG for each bank i in year t : $SES_{i,t} = 0.02 - 0.15 \times MES_{i,t-1} - 0.04 \times LVG_{i,t-1}$. The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater systemic importance.	Own Calculations
Systemic Factor2	Principal-component factor computed using factor analysis based on $\Delta CoVaR$ and NSRISK similar to Berger, Roman, and Sedunov (2020). The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater systemic importance.	Own Calculations
Systemic Factor3	Principal-component factor computed using factor analysis based on $\Delta CoVaR$, NSRISK, and SES similar to Berger, Roman, and Sedunov (2020). The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater systemic importance.	Own Calculations
Value at Risk (VaR)	The maximum possible loss as a percent of the total market equity that a bank could register for a given confidence level (95 percent). The loss is found in the left tail corresponding to 95 percent confidence level of the returns distribution function. The indicator is expressed as a positive number, higher values being associated with greater individual risk.	Own Calculations
Beta	Dynamic conditional beta computed using the dynamic conditional correlation (DCC) framework of Engle (2002) to capture the conditional co-movement between each bank and the market (MSCI World Financials Index), where the GJR-GARCH process is employed to account for the conditional heteroskedasticity.	Own Calculations

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
<i>Data Used for Systemic Risk (Bank Level):</i> Market Equity	Market Capitalization in U.S. Dollars	Datastream
Total Assets	Book Value of Total Assets	Worldscope
Book Equity	The Book Value of Common Equity	Worldscope
Market Assets	Total Assets \times (Market Equity/Book Equity)	Own Calculations
MSCI World Financials Index (Market)	Log>Returns of MSCI World Financials Index	Datastream
<i>Bank-Level Variables:</i> Size	Natural Logarithm of Total Assets in U.S. Dollars	Worldscope
Credit Risk Ratio	Non-performing Loans/Total Loans	Worldscope
Profitability (ROA)	Net Income/Total Assets	Worldscope
Capitalization	Common Equity/Total Assets	Worldscope
Funding Structure	Total Deposits/Total Liabilities	Worldscope

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
<p><i>Macro/Banking System Level Variables</i> Central Bank Independence Index</p>	<p>Updated version of Cukierman, Webb, and Neyapti's (1992) index by Bodea and Hicks (2015). The index has four components that we use in our analysis relating to (i) appointment, dismissal, and term of office for the head of the central bank (personnel independence), (ii) the resolution of conflicts between the executive branch and the central bank (policy independence), (iii) the objectives of the central bank (central bank objectives), and (iv) the rules limiting lending to the government (financial independence). The indices range between 0 and 1, higher values being associated with greater independence.</p>	<p>Bodea and Hicks (2015) and Garriga (2016)</p>
Real GDP Growth	<p>Annual percentage growth rate of gross domestic product based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 2010 U.S. dollars.</p>	WDI
Inflation	<p>Inflation as measured by the change in consumer price index, reflecting the annual percentage change in the cost to the average consumer of acquiring a basket of goods and services that may be fixed or changed at specified intervals, such as yearly.</p>	WDI
Bank Concentration	<p>Assets of three largest banks as a share of total commercial banking assets. Total assets include total earning assets, cash and due from banks, foreclosed real estate, fixed assets, goodwill, other intangibles, current tax assets, deferred tax, discontinued operations, and other assets.</p>	WDI
<p>Financial Intermediation Central Bank Involvement in Supervision Index (CBIS Index)</p>	<p>Domestic credit provided by financial sector/GDP. An index that captures the roles of the central bank in supervising all, some, or none of the different financial-sector actors. CBIS index takes the maximum score of 6 in countries where all supervisory responsibilities are assigned to the central bank and the minimum score of 1 in countries where the central bank is not involved in supervision at all.</p>	<p>WDI Masciandaro and Romelli (2018)</p>

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
<p><i>Variables Used in the Interaction Analysis:</i> Financial Stability Mandate/Objective (FS Mandate/Objective)</p>	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the central bank has financial stability as de jure mandate/objective, and 0 otherwise.</p>	<p>Central Banks' Websites; IMF's FSAP Reports; CBLD; Edge and Liang (2019)</p>
<p>Financial Stability Report (FS Report)</p>	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 in the years the central bank published a stand-alone financial stability report/review, and 0 otherwise.</p>	<p>Central Banks' Websites; IMF's FSAP Reports; CBLD; Edge and Liang (2019)</p>
<p>Central Bank Role in Macroprudential Committee (CB Role in MaPru Committee)</p>	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the central bank has a primary or shared role in macroprudential committee, and 0 otherwise.</p>	<p>Central Banks' Websites; IMF's FSAP Reports; CBLD; Edge and Liang (2019)</p>
<p>High Macroprudential Index (High MaPru Index)</p>	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the macroprudential index is equal to or greater than the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise. The macroprudential index is developed by Cerutti, Claessens, and Laeven (2017) based on 12 dimensions: (i) loan-to-value ratio caps; (ii) debt-to-income ratio; (iii) dynamic loan loss provisioning; (iv) general countercyclical capital buffer; (v) leverage ratio; (vi) capital surcharges on systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs); (vii) limits on interbank exposures; (viii) concentration limits; (ix) limits of foreign currency loans; (x) foreign exchange and/or countercyclical reserve requirements; (xi) limits on domestic currency loans; and (xii) levy/tax on financial institutions. The index takes values from 0 to 12, higher values being associated with a greater use of macroprudential policies.</p>	<p>Cerutti, Claessens, and Laeven (2017)</p>

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
High Quality of Microprudential Supervision (High Quality of MiPru Supervision)	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the microprudential supervision index is greater than or equal to the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise. The microprudential index is constructed following the methodology of Anginer et al. (2014b) based on the bank regulation and supervision survey from the World Bank. The index takes values from 0 to 14, higher values being associated with high quality of microprudential supervision.</p>	<p>World Bank's Bank Regulation and Supervision Surveys; Anginer et al. (2014b)</p>
Low Real GDP/Capita	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the PPP GDP/capita in constant 2017 international \$ is less than the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise.</p>	<p>WDI</p>
Low Financial Freedom Index	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the financial freedom index is less than the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise. The financial freedom index is a measure of banking efficiency and independence from government control and interference in the financial sector. It takes values from 0 to 100, higher values being associated with negligible government interference.</p>	<p>Heritage Foundation</p>
High Financial Markets Index	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the financial markets index is equal to or greater than the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise. The financial markets index is based on financial markets depth, access, and efficiency, and follows the methodology of Sahay et al. (2015). The index takes values from 0 to 1, higher values being associated with more developed financial markets.</p>	<p>Sahay et al. (2015)</p>
High Financial Institutions Index	<p>Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the financial institutions index is equal to or greater than the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise. The financial institutions index is based on financial institutions depth, access, and efficiency, and follows the methodology of Sahay et al. (2015). The index takes values from 0 to 1, higher values being associated with more developed financial institutions.</p>	<p>Sahay et al. (2015)</p>

(continued)

Table A.2. (Continued)

Variable Name	Definition	Source
High Financial Development Index	Dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the financial development index is equal to or greater than the median of the sample, and 0 otherwise. The financial development index is based on financial markets and institutions indices, and follows the methodology of Sahay et al. (2015). The index takes values from 0 to 1, higher values being associated with increased financial development.	Sahay et al. (2015)
Crisis	Dummy variable which takes the value of 1 if the period is between 2007 and 2013, and 0 otherwise.	Own Calculations
High Market Power	The values of Lerner index greater than or equal to the median of the sample. Lerner index is defined as the difference between output prices and marginal costs (relative to prices). An increase in the Lerner index indicates a deterioration of the competitive conduct of financial intermediaries.	WDI
Rigid Exchange Rate Regime	Dummy variable that takes the value of 0 if the exchange regime in a country is either floating or free floating, and 1 otherwise.	IMF

Note: CBLD stands for Central Bank Legislation Database; WDI stands for World Development Indicators; and IMF's PSAP stands for International Monetary Fund's Financial Sector Assessment Program.

Table A.3. Summary Statistics

	Mean	St. Dev.	p25	Median	p75	Min.	Max.	Obs.
Δ CoVaR	1.247	0.892	0.591	1.178	1.804	-2.39	5.484	3,327
NSRISK	23.350	87.948	-14.675	0.316	36.879	-70.019	2,841.164	3,284
CBI Index	0.565	0.194	0.472	0.475	0.775	0.173	0.954	3,293
Personnel Independence	0.553	0.159	0.438	0.582	0.707	0.063	0.832	2,936
CB Objectives	0.559	0.227	0.400	0.600	0.600	0.000	1.000	2,936
Policy Independence	0.549	0.328	0.268	0.668	0.750	0.000	1.000	2,936
Financial Independence	0.587	0.290	0.329	0.626	0.891	0.013	1.000	2,936
Size	24.204	1.716	23.148	24.011	25.06	18.568	29.011	3,327
Credit Risk Ratio	3.600	3.900	1.000	2.600	4.800	0.000	53.500	3,270
Profitability	1.300	1.400	0.500	1.200	1.800	-25.800	12.800	3,226
Capitalization	8.400	4.300	5.500	7.600	10.100	1.100	61.000	3,263
Funding Structure	74.900	19.300	63.800	79.900	90.900	0.000	100.400	3,327
Real GDP Growth	2.800	3.400	1.400	2.400	4.200	-5.700	26.200	3,327
Inflation	2.846	3.823	0.803	2.27	3.393	-4.863	54.4	3,327
Bank Concentration	55.41	21.283	36.97	46.319	74.84	23.113	100	3,318
Financial Intermediation	165.619	90.878	85.909	156.385	227.188	15.171	346.489	3,321
CBIS Index	2.009	1.109	1.000	2.000	3.000	1.000	6.000	3,105

Note: These figures correspond to the actual number of observations that entered the estimation model. Statistics for control variables are based on Δ CoVaR estimation with CBI index as the main explanatory variable. A complete description of variables is given in Table A.2 from the appendix.

Table A.4. Correlation Matrix of the Regressors

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
(1) CBI Index	1.000														
(2) Personnel Indep.	0.189*	1.000													
(3) CB Objectives	0.592*	0.218*	1.000												
(4) Policy Indep.	0.561*	0.358*	0.419*	1.000											
(5) Financial Indep.	0.722*	-0.137*	0.326*	0.275*	1.000										
(6) Size	0.098*	-0.000	-0.061*	-0.091*	0.086*	1.000									
(7) Credit Risk	-0.068*	0.094*	0.017	0.263*	-0.151*	-0.218*	1.000								
(8) Profitability	0.094*	-0.088*	0.137*	0.021	0.203*	-0.147*	-0.167*	1.000							
(9) Capitalization	-0.072*	-0.082*	-0.003	-0.071*	0.122*	-0.427*	0.061*	0.457*	1.000						
(10) Funding Structure	-0.307*	0.192*	0.101*	-0.145*	-0.464*	-0.390*	0.138*	-0.219*	0.062*	1.000					
(11) Real GDP Growth	-0.032*	-0.057*	0.074*	-0.048*	0.114*	-0.144*	0.017	0.325*	0.269*	0.030*	1.000				
(12) Inflation	0.069*	-0.078*	0.037*	0.168*	0.180*	-0.210*	0.089*	0.333*	0.248*	-0.119*	0.274*	1.000			
(13) Bank Concentration	0.063*	-0.092*	0.082*	0.186*	0.102*	-0.033*	0.094*	0.113*	-0.032*	-0.335*	0.215*	0.118*	1.000		
(14) Financial Intermediation	-0.304*	0.091*	-0.071*	-0.262*	-0.455*	0.211*	-0.149*	-0.432*	-0.348*	0.333*	-0.477*	-0.487*	-0.448*	1.000	
(15) CBIS Index	-0.105*	-0.217*	-0.239*	0.087*	0.176*	-0.145*	0.192*	0.093*	0.314*	-0.159*	0.220*	0.204*	0.185*	-0.470*	1.000

Note: * denotes statistical significance at the maximum level of significance of 10 percent. These figures correspond to the actual number of observations that entered the estimation model, based on Δ CoVaR as dependent variable and CBI index as the main explanatory variable in the case of control variables.

References

- Acemoglu, D., S. Johnson, P. Querubin, and J. A. Robinson. 2008. "When Does Policy Reform Work? The Case of Central Bank Independence." NBER Working Paper No. 14033.
- Acharya, V., R. Engle, and M. Richardson. 2012. "Capital Shortfall: A New Approach to Ranking and Regulating Systemic Risks." *American Economic Review* 102 (3): 59–64.
- Acharya, V., L. Pedersen, T. Philippon, and M. Richardson. 2017. "Measuring Systemic Risk." *Review of Financial Studies* 30 (1): 2–47.
- Adrian, T., and M. K. Brunnermeier. 2016. "CoVaR." *American Economic Review* 106 (7): 1705–41.
- Adrian, T., and N. Liang. 2018. "Monetary Policy, Financial Conditions, and Financial Stability." *International Journal of Central Banking* 14 (1): 73–131.
- Anginer, D., A. Demirgüç-Kunt, and M. Zhu. 2014a. "How Does Competition Affect Bank Systemic Risk?" *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 23 (1): 1–26.
- . 2014b. "How Does Deposit Insurance Affect Bank Risk? Evidence from the Recent Crisis." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 48 (November): 312–21.
- Arnone, M., B. J. Laurens, J.-F. Segalotto, and M. Sommer. 2009. "Central Bank Autonomy: Lessons from Global Trends." *IMF Staff Papers* 56 (2): 263–96.
- Balls, E., J. Howar, and A. Stansbury. 2018. "Central Bank Independence Revisited: After the Financial Crisis, What Should a Model Central Bank Look Like?" M-RCBG Associate Working Paper No. 87.
- Beck, T., O. De Jonghe, and K. Mulier. 2017. "Bank Sectoral Concentration and (Systemic) Risk: Evidence from a Worldwide Sample of Banks." CEPR Discussion Paper No. 12009.
- Beck, T., A. Demirgüç-Kunt, and R. Levine. 2006. "Bank Concentration, Competition, and Crises: First Results." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 30 (5): 1581–1603.
- Benoit, S., G. Colletaz, C. Hurlin, and C. Pérignon. 2013. "A Theoretical and Empirical Comparison of Systemic Risk Measures." HEC Paris Research Paper No. FIN-2014–1030.

- Berger, A. N., L. F. Klapper, and R. Turk-Ariss. 2009. "Bank Competition and Financial Stability." *Journal of Financial Services Research* 35 (2): 99–118.
- Berger, A. N., R. A. Roman, and J. Sedunov. 2020. "Did TARP Reduce or Increase Systemic Risk? The Effects of Government Aid on Financial System Stability." *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 43 (July): Article 100810.
- Berger, H., J. de Haan, and S. C. W. Eijffinger. 2001. "Central Bank Independence: An Update of Theory and Evidence." *Journal of Economic Surveys* 15 (1): 3–40.
- Berger, W., and F. Kießmer. 2013. "Central Bank Independence and Financial Stability: A Tale of Perfect Harmony?" *European Journal of Political Economy* 31 (September): 109–18.
- Bernanke, B. 2004. "The Great Moderation." Remarks at the meetings of the Eastern Economic Association, Washington, DC, February 20. <https://www.federalreserve.gov/boarddocs/speeches/2004/20040220/>.
- . 2017. "Monetary Policy in a New Era." Paper prepared for conference on Rethinking Macroeconomic Policy, Peterson Institute, Washington DC, October 12–13.
- Bisias, D., M. Flood, W. Lo, and S. Valavanis. 2012. "A Survey of Systemic Risk Analytics." *Annual Review of Financial Economics* 4 (1): 255–96.
- Blundell, R., and S. Bond. 1998. "Initial Conditions and Moment Restrictions in Dynamic Panel Data Models." *Journal of Econometrics* 87 (1): 115–43.
- Bodea, C., and R. Hicks. 2015. "Price Stability and Central Bank Independence: Discipline, Credibility, and Democratic Institutions." *International Organization* 69 (1): 35–61.
- Bolton, P., S. Cecchetti, J. P. Danthine, and X. Vives. 2019. *Sound at Last? Assessing a Decade of Financial Regulation*. No. 1, The Future of Banking Series. London: Centre for Economic Policy Research.
- Bostandzic, D., and G. N. F. Weiß. 2018. "Why Do Some Banks Contribute More to Global Systemic Risk?" *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 35 (Part A): 17–40.
- Boyd, J. H., and G. De Nicolo. 2005. "The Theory of Bank Risk Taking and Competition Revisited." *Journal of Finance* 60 (3): 1329–43.

- Boyd, J. H., G. De Nicolo, and A. M. Jalal. 2006. "Bank Risk-taking and Competition Revisited: New Theory and New Evidence." IMF Working Paper No. 06/297.
- Brei, M., L. Gambacorta, and G. von Peter. 2013. "Rescue Packages and Bank Lending." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 37 (2): 490–505.
- Brownlees, C., and R. Engle. 2017. "SRISK: A Conditional Capital Shortfall Measure of Systemic Risk." *Review of Financial Studies* 30 (1): 48–79.
- Broz, L. 2002. "Political System Transparency and Monetary Commitment Regimes." *International Organization* 56 (4): 861–87.
- Brunnermeier, M. K., and M. Oehmke. 2012. "Bubbles, Financial Crises, and Systemic Risk." NBER Working Paper No. 18398.
- Bruno, G. S. F. 2005. "Approximating the Bias of the LSDV Estimator for Dynamic Unbalanced Panel Data Models." *Economics Letters* 87 (3): 361–66.
- Bun, M. J. G., and J. F. Kiviet. 2003. "On the Diminishing Returns of Higher-Order Terms in Asymptotic Expansions of Bias." *Economics Letters* 79 (2): 145–52.
- Capie, F., and G. Wood. 2013. "Central Bank Independence: A Victim of the Crisis?" *Economic Affairs* 33 (3): 379–85.
- Carletti, E., and P. Hartmann. 2003. "Competition and Financial Stability: What's Special about Banking?" In *Monetary History, Exchange Rates and Financial Markets: Essays in Honour of Charles Goodhart*, Vol. 2, ed. P. Mizen. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Cerutti, E., S. Claessens, and L. Laeven. 2017. "The Use and Effectiveness of Macroprudential Policies: New Evidence." *Journal of Financial Stability* 28 (February): 203–24.
- Cihak M. 2010. "Price Stability, Financial Stability, and Central Bank Independence." In *Central Banking After the Crisis*, Proceedings of the 38th Economics Conference 2010, 45–55. Vienna: Oesterreichische Nationalbank.
- Collard, F., H. Dellas, B. Diba, and O. Loisel. 2017. "Optimal Monetary and Prudential Policies." *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 9 (1): 40–87.
- Condon, C. 2019. "Central Bank Independence." Bloomberg, July 8.

- Corbeil R. R., and S. R. Searle. 1976. "Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) Estimation of Variance Components in the Mixed Model." *Technometrics* 18 (1): 31–38.
- Cornille, D., F. Rycx, and I. Tojerow. 2019. "Heterogeneous Effects of Credit Constraints on SMEs' Employment: Evidence from the European Sovereign Debt Crisis." *Journal of Financial Stability* 41 (April): 1–13.
- Crowe, C., and E. E. Meade. 2007. "The Evolution of Central Bank Governance around the World." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 21 (4): 69–90.
- Cukierman, A. 2008. "Central Bank Independence and Monetary Policymaking Institutions — Past, Present and Future." *European Journal of Political Economy* 24 (4): 722–36.
- Cukierman, A., S. B. Webb, and B. Neyapti. 1992. "Measuring the Independence of Central Banks and Its Effect on Policy Outcomes." *World Bank Economic Review* 6 (3): 353–98.
- De Bandt, O., and P. Hartmann. 2000. "Systemic Risk: A Survey." ECB Working Paper No. 35.
- De Haan, J., C. Bodea, R. Hicks, and S. Eijffinger. 2018. "Central Bank Independence Before and After the Crisis." *Comparative Economic Studies* 60 (2): 183–202.
- De Haan, J., and S. C. Eijffinger. 2016. "The Politics of Central Bank Independence." DNB Working Paper No. 539.
- De Haan, W., M. Ellison, E. Ilzetzki, M. McMahon, and R. Reis. 2017. "The Future of Central Bank Independence: Results of the CFM-CEPR Survey." VoxEU, January 10. <https://voxeu.org/article/future-central-bank-independence>.
- Dell'Ariccia, G., L. Laeven, and R. Marquez. 2020. "Financial Frictions, Foreign Currency Borrowing, and Systemic Risk." Working Paper.
- Diebold, F. X., and K. Yılmaz. 2014. "On the Network Topology of Variance Decompositions: Measuring the Connectedness of Financial Firms." *Journal of Econometrics* 182 (1): 119–34.
- Doumpos, M., C. Gaganis, and F. Pasiouras. 2015. "Central Bank Independence, Financial Supervision Structure and Bank Soundness: An Empirical Analysis around the Crisis." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 61 (Supplement 1): S69–S83.

- Edge, R. M., and N. Liang. 2019. "New Financial Stability Governance Structures and Central Banks." Working Paper No. 50, Brookings Institution Hutchins Center.
- Engle, R. 2002. "Dynamic Conditional Correlation." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 20 (3): 339–50.
- Farhi, E., and J. Tirole. 2012. "Collective Moral Hazard, Maturity Mismatch, and Systemic Bailouts." *American Economic Review* 102 (1): 60–93.
- Fernández-Albertos, J. 2015. "The Politics of Central Bank Independence." *Annual Review of Political Science* 18: 217–37.
- Förch, T., and U. Sunde. 2012. "Central Bank Independence and Stock Market Returns in Emerging Economies." *Economics Letters* 115 (1): 77–80.
- Friedrich, C., K. Hess, and R. Cunningham. 2019. "Monetary Policy and Financial Stability: Cross-Country Evidence." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 51 (2–3): 403–53.
- García-Herrero, A., and P. Del Rio Lopez. 2003. "Financial Stability and the Design of Monetary Policy." Working Paper No. 0315, Banco de España.
- Garriga, A. C. 2016. "Central Bank Independence in the World: A New Data Set." *International Interactions* 42 (5): 849–68.
- Goodhart, C. 2011. "The Changing Role of Central Banks." *Financial History Review* 18 (2): 135–54.
- Goodhart, C., and R. Lastra. 2018. "Populism and Central Bank Independence." *Open Economies Review* 29 (1): 49–68.
- Greenspan, A. 2007. *The Age of Turbulence: Adventures in a New World*. New York: Penguin Press.
- Haltom, R., and A. J. Weinberg. 2017. "Does the Fed Have a Financial Stability Mandate?" Economic Brief No. 17-06, Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond.
- Hartley, H. O., and J. N. K. Rao. 1967. "Maximum-likelihood Estimation for the Mixed Analysis of Variance Model." *Biometrika* 54 (1–2): 93–108.
- Horváth, R., and D. Vaško. 2016. "Central Bank Transparency and Financial Stability." *Journal of Financial Stability* 22 (February): 45–56.
- Issing, O. 2018. "The Uncertain Future of Central Bank Independence." VoxEU, April 2. <https://voxeu.org/article/uncertain-future-central-bank-independence>.

- Jordà, Ò., M. Schularick, and A. M. Taylor. 2013. "When Credit Bites Back." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 45 (s2): 3–28.
- Kayo, E. K., and H. Kimura. 2011. "Hierarchical Determinants of Capital Structure." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 35 (2): 358–71.
- Keefer, P. 2001. "When Do Special Interests Run Rampant? Disentangling the Role of Elections, Incomplete Information and Checks and Balances in Banking Crises." Policy Research Working Paper No. 2543, World Bank.
- Khan, A. H., H. A. Khan, and H. Dewan. 2013. "Central Bank Autonomy, Legal Institutions and Banking Crisis Incidence." *International Journal of Finance and Economics* 18 (1): 51–73.
- Kiviet, J. F. 1995. "On Bias, Inconsistency, and Efficiency of Various Estimators in Dynamic Panel Data Models." *Journal of Econometrics* 68 (1): 53–78.
- Klomp, J., and J. de Haan. 2009. "Central Bank Independence and Financial Instability." *Journal of Financial Stability* 5 (4): 321–38.
- Koenker, R., and G. S. Bassett. 1978. "Regression Quantiles." *Econometrica* 46: 33–50.
- Kuttner, K. N., and A. S. Posen. 2010. "Do Markets Care Who Chairs the Central Bank?" *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 42 (2–3): 347–71.
- Laeven, L., L. Ratnovski, and H. Tong. 2016. "Bank Size, Capital, and Systemic Risk: Some International Evidence." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 69 (Supplement 1): S25–S34.
- Laeven, L., and F. Valencia. 2020. "Systemic Banking Crises Database II." *IMF Economic Review* 68 (2): 307–61.
- Lamers, M., F. Mergaerts, E. Meuleman, and R. Vander Venet. 2019. "The Tradeoff between Monetary Policy and Bank Stability." *International Journal of Central Banking* 15 (2): 1–42.
- Langfield, S., and M. Pagano. 2016. "Bank Bias in Europe: Effects on Systemic Risk and Growth." *Economic Policy* 31 (85): 51–106.
- Levieuge, G., Y. Lucotte, and F. Pradines-Jobet. 2019. "Central Banks' Preferences and Banking Sector Vulnerability." *Journal of Financial Stability* 40 (February): 110–31.

- Li, K., D. Griffin, H. Yue, and L. Zhao. 2013. "How Does Culture Influence Corporate Risk-taking?" *Journal of Corporate Finance* 23 (December): 1–22.
- Machado, J. A. F., and J. M. C. Santos Silva. 2013. "Quantile Regression and Heteroskedasticity." Working Paper, University of Surrey. https://jmcss.som.surrey.ac.uk/JM_JSS.pdf.
- Marcato, G., S. Milcheva, and C. Zheng. 2018. "Market Integration, Country Institutions and IPO Underpricing." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 53 (December): 87–105.
- Masciandaro, D., and D. Romelli. 2015. "Ups and Downs of Central Bank Independence from the Great Inflation to the Great Recession: Theory, Institutions and Empirics." *Financial History Review* 22 (3): 259–89.
- . 2018. "Central Bankers as Supervisors: Do Crises Matter?" *European Journal of Political Economy* 52 (March): 120–140.
- Melecky, M., and A. M. Podpiera. 2013. "Institutional Structures of Financial Sector Supervision, Their Drivers and Historical Benchmarks." *Journal of Financial Stability* 9 (3): 428–44.
- . 2015. "Placing Bank Supervision in the Central Bank. Implications for Financial Stability Based on Evidence from the Global Crisis." Policy Research Working Paper No. 7320, World Bank.
- Mersch, Y. 2017. "Central Bank Independence Revisited." Keynote address at the "Symposium on Building the Financial System of the 21st Century: An Agenda for Europe and the United States," Frankfurt, March 30. <https://www.ecb.europa.eu/press/key/date/2017/html/sp170330.en.html>.
- Mishkin, F. S. 2004. "Can Inflation Targeting Work in Emerging Market Countries?" NBER Working Paper No. 10646. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w10646>.
- Moser, C., and A. Dreher. 2010. "Do Markets Care about Central Bank Governor Changes? Evidence from Emerging Markets." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 42 (8): 1589–1612.
- Mourouzidou-Damtsa, S., A. Milidonis, and K. Stathopoulos. 2019. "National Culture and Bank Risk-taking." *Journal of Financial Stability* 40 (February): 132–43.
- Nistor, S., and S. Ongena. 2020. "The Impact of Policy Interventions on Systemic Risk across Banks." Research Paper No. 20-101, Swiss Finance Institute. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2580791.

- Osiński, J., K. Seal, and L. Hoogduin. 2013. "Macroprudential and Microprudential Policies: Toward Cohabitation." IMF Discussion Note No. 13/05.
- Papadamou, S., M. Sidiropoulos, and E. Spyromitros. 2017. "Does Central Bank Independence Affect Stock Market Volatility?" *Research in International Business and Finance* 42 (December): 855–64.
- Patro, D., M. Qi, and X. Sun. 2013. "A Simple Indicator of Systemic Risk." *Journal of Financial Stability* 9 (1): 105–16.
- Pistoresi, B., F. Salsano, and D. Ferrari. 2011. "Political Institutions and Central Bank Independence Revisited." *Applied Economics Letters* 18 (7): 679–82.
- Quintyn, M., and M. Taylor. 2003. "Regulatory and Supervisory Independence and Financial Stability." *CESifo Economic Studies* 49 (2): 259–94.
- Reinhart, C. M., and K. S. Rogoff. 2009. "The Aftermath of Financial Crises." *American Economic Review* 99 (2): 466–72.
- . 2011. "From Financial Crash to Debt Crisis." *American Economic Review* 101 (5): 1676–1706.
- Sahay, R., M. Cihak, P. M. N'Diaye, A. Barajas, D. B. Ayala Pena, R. Bi, Y. Gao et al. 2015. "Rethinking Financial Deepening: Stability and Growth in Emerging." IMF Staff Discussion Note No. 15/08.
- Samarakoon, L. P. 2017. "Contagion of the Eurozone Debt Crisis." *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 49 (July): 115–28.
- Shim, J. 2013. "Bank Capital Buffer and Portfolio Risk: The Influence of Business Cycle and Revenue Diversification." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 37 (3): 761–72.
- Smets, F. 2014. "Financial Stability and Monetary Policy: How Closely Interlinked?" *International Journal of Central Banking* 10 (2): 263–300.
- Svensson, L. E. O. 2013. "Some Lessons from Six Years of Practical Inflation Targeting." *Economic Review* (Sveriges Riksbank) 3: 29–80.
- Toniolo, G., and E. N. White. 2015. "The Evolution of Financial Stability Mandate: From Origins to Its Present Day." NBER Working Paper No. 20844.

- Ueda, K., and F. Valencia. 2014. "Central Bank Independence and Macro-prudential Regulation." *Economics Letters* 125 (2): 327–30.
- van Essen, M., P.-J. Engelen, and M. Carney. 2013. "Does 'Good' Corporate Governance Help in a Crisis? The Impact of Country- and Firm-Level Governance Mechanisms in the European Financial Crisis." *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 21 (3): 201–24.
- Weiß, G. N. F., D. Bostandzic, and S. Neumann. 2014. "What Factors Drive Systemic Risk during International Financial Crises?" *Journal of Banking and Finance* 41 (April): 78–96.
- Windmeijer, F. 2005. "A Finite Sample Correction for the Variance of Linear Efficient Two-Step GMM Estimators." *Journal of Econometrics* 126 (1): 25–51.
- Xu, T. T., K. Hu, and U. S. Das. 2019. "Bank Profitability and Financial Stability." IMF Working Paper No. 19/5.